



The Common Factor Model

Measurement Methods

Lecture 15

Chapter 9



Today's Class

- Common Factor Model
 - Multiple factors with a single test
- ML Estimation Methods
- New fit indices because of ML Estimation method
- Example



Upcoming Schedule



Our Upcoming Schedule

Note: THE CLASS PROJECT IS DUE ON 5/16

Date	Chapter and Topic
3/30	Chapter 7: Reliability – Applications
4/4	Computer Lab session
4/6, 4/11	No Class
4/13	Chapter 8: Prediction and Multiple Regression
4/18, 4/20	Chapter 9: The Common Factor Model
4/25	Chapter 10: Validity
4/27	Chapter 11: Classical Item Analysis
5/2	Chapter 12: Item Response Models
5/4	Chapter 13: Properties of Item Response Models
5/9	Chapter 14: Multidimensional Item Response Models
5/11	Review
5/16	Final Exam (1:30pm – 4:00pm)



The Single Factor Model

- Spearman single-factor model assumes items form a homogenous set and they measure just one attribute in common.
- This set of items is also described as *unidimensional*.
- Thurstone generalized this model to more than one factor – multiple factor model.



The Common Factor Model

- The common factor model is a useful device for checking on the structure of the tests we choose to create.
- It is applicable for studying relations between variables that might be:
 - scores on tests or subtests containing enough items to assume linear relations or joint normal distributions.
 - quantitative item scores for which these assumptions will hold approximately.
 - binary item scores, for which the model is a linear approximation to the one we need.
 - For this last point we will need methods introduced in Ch. 12-14.



Common Factor Model Specifics

- Common factor model can also be applied on subtest scores but the component items should first be examined for content homogeneity.
- The item sums substitute for attributes at a first level of generality.
- If relationships among these composites can be explained by common factors, these factors are at a second level of abstraction from the responses to individual items.



Multiple Factors with the Common Factor Model



The Model

- A p -common factor model is represented as (for item j):

$$X_j = \lambda_{j1}F_1 + \lambda_{j2}F_2 + \dots + \lambda_{jp}F_p + E_j$$

- E_j is uncorrelated with common factors.
- Common factors, by definition, are what the test scores measure in common.
- The residuals are what they measure uniquely.



Partial Correlation

- Another way to say this is that the partial correlation between any pairs of tests, conditioned on values of the p factors, is zero:

$$\rho_{X_j X_k \bullet F_1 F_2 \dots F_p} = 0, \quad j \neq k$$

- That is, in a subpopulation in which the factor scores take fixed values $F_1 = f_1, \dots, F_p = f_p$, then test scores are linearly uncorrelated.



Conditional Independence

- This is a form of *the principle of conditional independence*.
- Local independence is an important concept in psychometrics.
- Many different models use local independence.
 - So familiarize yourself with it now...



Predicted Covariances of the Common Factor Model

- Assume the factors are standardized (i.e. with mean zero and variance one).
- The model-predicted covariance between items i and j is then:

$$\sigma_{jk} = \sum_s \sum_t \lambda_{js} \lambda_{kt} \phi_{st}$$

Here, ϕ_{st} is correlation between common factors s and t



Predicted Variances of the Common Factor Model

- The model-predicted variance for an item j is then:

$$\sigma_{jj} = \sum_s \sum_t \lambda_{js} \lambda_{jt} \phi_{st} + \psi_j^2$$



Additional Estimation Methods



Estimation Methods

- Two estimation methods are usually used to obtain parameter estimates in common factor model:
 - ULS (unweighted least squares – really GLS is more common).
 - ML (maximum likelihood – by far the most common method).



Unweighted Least Squares

- ULS principle:
 - minimize the sum of squared differences between the sample statistics (sample covariance or correlation) and the corresponding fitted values from the model (fitted covariance or correlation).



Maximum Likelihood

- ML principle:
 - Parameter estimates are chosen that maximize the likelihood (probability) of the sample observations.
- A useful equivalent is to minimize a criterion based on the ratio of two probabilities
 - The probability of the sample data if the restrictive hypothesis is true.
 - The probability of the data if they could come from a population not subject to any restrictions.



Model Evaluation Procedures (From ML Estimation)



Chi-squared Test of Model Fit

- Usually, models are evaluated based on chi-squared test or goodness-of-fit indices.

Chi-squared test

- Chi-squared is equal to zero if the model fits perfectly.
- It is positive if the model fits imperfectly.



Goodness of Fit Indices

Goodness-of-fit indices

- Based on the non-centrality Parameter,

$$d = \frac{\chi^2 - df}{n}$$

- Where df is degree of freedom of the model.
- n is the sample size.
- This quantity is an unbiased estimate of the error of approximation of the model to the population.
- If the model is perfect fit, $d = 0$.



Other Measures – McDonald's Goodness of Fit Index

- McDonald's Goodness of Fit Index,

$$M_c = e^{-(1/2)d}$$

- Which is scaled to lie between zero and one, where one represents perfect fit.
- Rod used to tell his classes that he came to dislike this measure of model fit.



Other Measures - RMSEA

- Root Mean Squared Error of Approximation, RMSEA :

$$RMSEA = \sqrt{d / df}$$

- The RMSEA is zero for perfect fit.
- A conventional "rule of thumb" is that the model is acceptable when $RMSEA < .05$.



Analysis of Residual Matrix

- Examination of the discrepancy or residual matrix is one way to evaluate model fit (difference between observed and fitted covariance or correlation matrix):
- A reasonable rule of thumb is that the fit is acceptable, because it cannot be improved on by adding parameters that are nontrivial,
- If the absolute discrepancies are less than .1 in analysis of a sample correlation matrix.



Factor Analysis Example



Confirmatory Factor Analysis

- As an example, consider the data given on p. 502 of Johnson and Wichern:

Lawley and Maxwell present the sample correlation matrix of examinee scores for six subject areas and 220 male students.

- The subject tests are:

- ◆ Gaelic.
- ◆ English.
- ◆ History.
- ◆ Arithmetic.
- ◆ Algebra.
- ◆ Geometry.



Confirmatory Factor Analysis

- It seems plausible that these subjects should load onto one of two types of ability: verbal and mathematical.
- If we were to specify what the pattern of loadings would look like, the Factor Loading Matrix might look like:

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{11} & 0 \\ \lambda_{21} & 0 \\ \lambda_{31} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{42} \\ 0 & \lambda_{52} \\ 0 & \lambda_{62} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \text{Verbal} & \text{Math} \\ \text{Ability} & \text{Ability} \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \text{Gaelic} \\ \leftarrow \text{English} \\ \leftarrow \text{History} \\ \leftarrow \text{Arithmetic} \\ \leftarrow \text{Algebra} \\ \leftarrow \text{Geometry} \end{array}$$



Confirmatory Factor Analysis

- The model-predicted covariance matrix would then be:

$$\Sigma = \Lambda\Phi\Lambda' + \Psi$$

- Where:

- ◆ Φ is the factor correlation matrix (here it is size 2×2).
- ◆ Ψ is a diagonal matrix of unique variances.

- Specifically:

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{11}^2 + \psi_1 & \lambda_{11}\lambda_{21} & \lambda_{11}\lambda_{31} & \lambda_{11}\phi_{12}\lambda_{42} & \lambda_{11}\phi_{12}\lambda_{52} & \lambda_{11}\phi_{12}\lambda_{62} \\ \lambda_{11}\lambda_{21} & \lambda_{21}^2 + \psi_2 & \lambda_{21}\lambda_{31} & \lambda_{21}\phi_{12}\lambda_{42} & \lambda_{21}\phi_{12}\lambda_{52} & \lambda_{21}\phi_{12}\lambda_{62} \\ \lambda_{11}\lambda_{31} & \lambda_{21}\lambda_{31} & \lambda_{31}^2 + \psi_3 & \lambda_{31}\phi_{12}\lambda_{42} & \lambda_{31}\phi_{12}\lambda_{52} & \lambda_{31}\phi_{12}\lambda_{62} \\ \lambda_{11}\phi_{12}\lambda_{42} & \lambda_{21}\phi_{12}\lambda_{42} & \lambda_{31}\phi_{12}\lambda_{42} & \lambda_{42}^2 + \psi_4 & \lambda_{42}\lambda_{52} & \lambda_{42}\lambda_{62} \\ \lambda_{11}\phi_{12}\lambda_{52} & \lambda_{21}\phi_{12}\lambda_{52} & \lambda_{31}\phi_{12}\lambda_{52} & \lambda_{42}\lambda_{52} & \lambda_{52}^2 + \psi_5 & \lambda_{52}\lambda_{62} \\ \lambda_{11}\phi_{12}\lambda_{62} & \lambda_{21}\phi_{12}\lambda_{62} & \lambda_{31}\phi_{12}\lambda_{62} & \lambda_{42}\lambda_{62} & \lambda_{52}\lambda_{62} & \lambda_{62}^2 + \psi_6 \end{bmatrix}$$



Confirmatory Factor Analysis

- Using an optimization routine (and some type of criterion function, such as ML), the parameter estimates that minimize the function are found.
- To assess the fit of the model, the predicted covariance matrix is subtracted from the observed covariance matrix, and the residuals are summarized into fit statistics.
- Based on the goodness-of-fit of the model, the result is taken as-is, or modifications are made to the structure.
- CFA is a measurement model - the factors are measured by the data.
- SEM is a model for the covariance between the factors.



SAS Example

```
*SAS Example;
data examscores (type=corr);
  input _type_ $ _name_ $ gaelic english history arith algebra geometry;
  cards;
n . 220 . . . . .
corr gaelic 1.00 . . . . .
corr english .439 1.00 . . . . .
corr history .410 .351 1.00 . . . . .
corr arith .288 .354 .164 1.00 . . . . .
corr algebra .329 .320 .190 .595 1.00 . . . . .
corr geometry .248 .329 .181 .470 .464 1.00 . . . . .
;
run;

proc calis data=examscores residual;
  lineqs
    gaelic = lambda11 f1 + e1,
    english = lambda21 f1 + e2,
    history = lambda31 f1 + e3,
    arith = lambda42 f2 + e4,
    algebra = lambda52 f2 + e5,
    geometry = lambda62 f2 + e6;
  std
    f1=1,
    f2=1,
    e1-e6=psi1-psi6;
  cov
    f1 f2=phi1;
run;
```



Wrapping Up

- The Common Factor Model is a general way to estimate more than a single factor in a test.
- This is a practical way to do test development.
- The ML estimation method is the most common way to estimate model parameters
- The RMSEA is perhaps the most often cited fit index.



Next Time

- More from Chapter 9
 - How to use the Common Factor Model for exploratory analyses.
 - What to do about Covariance or Correlation Matrix estimation.
 - Differing types of models (independent clusters and hierarchical factors).
 - Another example.